Appendix

Leicestershire County Council

Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund

External Audit Plan 2014/15

Prepared for the Local Pension Board

June 2015



Contents

Code of Audit Practice and Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and of Audited Bodies

In April 2010 the Audit Commission issued a revised version of the 'Statement of responsibilities of auditors and of audited bodies'. It is available from the Chief Executive of each audited body. The purpose of the statement is to assist auditors and audited bodies by explaining where the responsibilities of auditors begin and end and what is to be expected of the audited body in certain areas. Our reports and management letters are prepared in the context of this Statement. *Reports and letters prepared by* appointed auditors and addressed to members or officers are prepared for the sole use of the audited body and no responsibility is taken by auditors to any Member or officer in their individual capacity or to any third party.

Executive summary	2
Audit approach	3
Risk of fraud	11
Audit team	13
Audit fees	14
Appendices	15
Appendix A: Independence threats and safeguards	16
Appendix B: Audit quality	17
Appendix C: Other engagement information	21

Executive summary

Background

We have prepared this audit plan to provide the Corporate Governance Committee of Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund (the 'Fund') with information about our responsibilities as external auditors of Leicestershire County Council (the 'Authority') and how we plan to discharge them for the audit of the financial year ending 31 March 2015. This is the last financial year for which PwC will be your external auditors under our contract with the Audit Commission.

The Leicestershire County Council acts as the administering authority for the Fund, and as such is accountable for the stewardship of the Fund. The responsibility for this stewardship is discharged on a day to day basis by the Members of the Local Pension Committee ("the Committee"). It is our responsibility to carry out an audit in accordance with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice ("the Code").

From discussions with management as part of our planning meeting on14th May 2015, there is nothing that we have identified from discussions that would impact our audit approach

Framework for our audit

We are appointed as your auditors by the Audit Commission as part of a national framework contract and consequently we are required to incorporate the requirements of the Audit Commission Act 1998 and the Code of Audit Practice 2010 for local government bodies (the 'Audit Code') as well as the requirements of International Standards on Auditing (UK & Ireland) ('ISAs').

The remainder of this document sets out how we will discharge these responsibilities and we welcome any feedback or comments that you may have on our approach.

Our Responsibilities

Officers and members of each local authority are accountable for the stewardship of public funds. It is our responsibility to carry out an audit in accordance with the Audit Commission's Code of Audit Practice ("the Code"), supplemented by the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and of Audited Bodies. Both documents are available from the Chief Executive or the Audit Commission's website.

It is your responsibility to identify and address your operational and financial risks, and to develop and implement proper arrangements to manage them, including adequate and effective systems of internal control. In planning our audit work, we assess the significant operational and financial risks that are relevant to our responsibilities under the Code and the Audit Commission's Standing Guidance. This exercise is only performed to the extent required to prepare our plan so that it properly tailors the nature and conduct of audit work to your circumstances. It is not designed to identify all risks affecting your operations nor all internal control weaknesses. Our audit engagement begins with an evaluation of the Fund on our 'acceptance & continuance database' which highlights an overall engagement risk score and highlights areas of heightened risk.

Audit approach

The PwC Audit



Our unique methodology involves our people, a tailored audit approach and our use of technology. Our 'smart' approach underpins your audit. The core elements of our audit are outlined below:

Client acceptance & independence

Our audit engagement begins with an evaluation of the Authority on our 'acceptance & continuance system' which highlights an overall engagement risk score and highlights areas of heightened risk.

At the beginning of our audit process we are also required to assess our independence as your external auditor. We have made enquiries of all PwC teams providing services to you and of those responsible in the UK Firm for compliance matters. We have

set out in Appendix A the relationships that, in our professional judgement, may be perceived to impact upon our independence and the objectivity of our audit team, together with the related safeguards.

At the date of this plan we confirm that in our professional judgement, we are independent accountants with respect to the Authority, within the meaning of UK regulatory and professional requirements and that the objectivity of the audit team is not impaired.

Relevant risks

Our audit is risk based which means that we focus on the areas that matter. We have carried out a risk assessment for 2014/15 prior to considering the impact of controls, as required by auditing standards, which also draws on our understanding of your business.

We determine if risks are significant, elevated or normal and whether we are concerned with fraud, error or judgement as this helps to drive the design of our testing procedures:

•	Significant	Those risks with the highest potential for material misstatement due to a combination of their size, nature and likelihood and which, in our judgement, require specific audit consideration.
•	Elevated	Although not considered significant, the nature of the balance/area requires specific consideration.

The table below highlights all risks which we consider to be either significant or elevated in relation to our audit for the year ending 31 March 2015.

Risk	Categorisation	Audit approach
Management override of controls ISA (UK&I) 240 requires that we plan our audit work to consider the risk of fraud, which is presumed to be a significant risk in any audit. In every organisation, management may be in a position to override the routine day to day financial controls. Accordingly, for all of our audits, we consider this risk and adapt our audit procedures accordingly.	Significant •	 As part of our assessment of your control environment we will consider those areas where management could use discretion outside of the financial controls in place to misstate the financial statements. We will perform procedures to: Review the appropriateness of accounting policies and estimation bases, focusing on any changes not driven by amendments to reporting standards; Test the appropriateness of journal entries and other year-end adjustments, targeting higher risk items such as those that affect the reported deficit/surplus; Review accounting estimates for bias and evaluate whether judgment and estimates used are reasonable (for example pension scheme assumptions, valuation and impairment assumptions); Evaluate the business rationale underlying significant transactions outside the normal course of business; and Perform unpredictable procedures targeted on fraud risks.
	at 12	We may perform other audit procedures if necessary.
Risk of fraud in revenue and expenditure recognition Under ISA (UK&I) 240 there is a presumption that there are risks of fraud in revenue recognition. We extend this presumption to the recognition of expenditure in local government.	Significant ●	 We will perform procedures to: Test the appropriateness of journal entries and other year-end adjustments affecting revenue; Consider recognition criteria for investment income. We have noted during the year that internal audit have performed some work regarding member contributions and will consider these findings within our audit fieldwork

Risk	Categorisation	Audit approach
Valuation of hard to value investments	Elevated •	We will review the monitoring procedures in place over these categories of investments with management.
Leicestershire County Council Pension Fund holds a mixture of categories of investment within the Pension fund portfolio. For a proportion of these assets a readily available market price is not always		We will confirm the valuation of hard-to-value investments at year end with the individual investment managers and assess the accuracy of the year end valuation by reviewing the latest audited accounts to information provided to management to assess the reasonableness of these unit prices.
available.		We will review the latest investment manager controls reports, where available, to update our understanding of controls and procedures in place over valuation of such assets.

Overall Materiality: £27.35m

Triviality: £1.36m

Intelligent scoping

Materiality

	£m
Overall materiality	27.35
Clearly trivial reporting de minimis	1.36

We set overall materiality to assist our planning of the overall audit strategy and to assess the impact of any adjustments identified.

Overall materiality for the 2014-15 audit has been set at 1% of net assets for the year ended 31 March 2015. We will update this assessment as necessary in light of the Authority's actual results.

ISA (UK&I) 450 (revised) requires that we record all misstatements identified except those which are "clearly trivial" i.e. those which we do expect not to have a material effect on the financial statements even if accumulated. We would like to seek the Audit Committee's views on this de minimis threshold.

Robust Testing

Where we do our work

As previously mentioned our audit is risk based which means we focus our work on those areas which, in our judgement, are most likely to lead to a material misstatement. In summary, we will:

- Consider the key risks arising from internal developments and external factors such as policy, regulatory or accounting changes;
- Consider the robustness of the control environment, including the governance structure, the operating environment, the information systems and processes and the financial reporting procedures in operation;
- Understand the control activities operating over key financial cycles which affect the production of the year-end financial statements;
- Validate key controls relevant to the audit approach; and
- Perform substantive testing on transactions and balances as required.

When we do our work

Our audit is designed to quickly consider and evaluate the impact of issues arising to ensure that we deliver a no surprises audit at year-end. This involves early testing at an interim stage and open and timely communication with management to ensure that we meet all statutory reporting deadlines. We engage early, enabling us to debate issues with you.

Summary of our approach

This is not an exhaustive list of all the tests that we will perform, but summarises the main aspects:

	Overall control environment	Investments and investment return	Contributions	Benefits and expenditure
Governance controls	~	✓	~	~
Administration and				
accounting controls	~	~	~	~
Service organisation controls	~			~
Analytical procedures		✓	v	~
Detailed testing		✓	~	v
Independent confirmations		~		

Focus area	Planned response includes
Investment assets and returns	
Existence of investments	 Understand the Committee and management monitoring controls, including reviewing Committee meeting minutes. Obtain independent confirmations of assets from the custodian and investment managers. Review internal controls reports on investment management and custody.
Valuation of investments	 Test valuation of quoted investments against third party sources. Understand how the Committee and management validate asset values provided by investment managers for investments which are not quoted. Review valuations for pooled investment vehicles and private equity investments, including reviewing the most recent audited accounts for the funds and any available internal controls reports.
Completeness of investments	 Review the reconciliations of cash inflows and outflows from the Fund's bank account compared to contributions and other income, benefits and expenses and the movements in investments. Review the reconciliations performed in-house between investment manager and custodian assets.
Performance of investments reported is consistent with the accounts	• Complete an analytical review of investment returns for reasonableness compared with the Fund's benchmarks and other external indices.
Allocation of investments is in accordance with the Statement of Investment Principles ('SIP')	• Review the allocation of investments compared with the requirements of the SIP.

Contributions		
Payment of employer contributions in accordance with the Rates and Adjustment Certificate and employee contributions per the prescribed rates for local government employees (England and Wales) ("the schedules")	 that these a Undertake compared pay and rate 	controls over payroll and validate on a sample basis are operating as expected. analytical review of contributions for reasonableness with the prior year, allowing for changes in membership, tes of contributions. ne monthly contributions received and investigate any

Contributions	 unusual fluctuations. Test on a sample basis that the contributions are calculated and paid in accordance with the relevant schedules. Review the timing of the payment of contributions according to bank details compared with the requirements of the schedules.
Benefits and membership	
Benefits are correctly calculated according to the local government regulations	 Review the controls operated by the administration team (including over the pension payroll) and validate on a sample basis that these are operating as expected. Review the internal controls report on administration. Undertake analytical review of pensions paid for reasonableness compared to the prior year, allowing for changes in membership and the effects of the pensions increase. Consider the monthly total pensions paid and investigate any unusual fluctuations. Perform substantive testing on a sample basis over material types of benefit payments.
Membership statistics accurately reflect the membership of the scheme	 Review the results of any pensioner existence checking exercise completed during the year. Compare membership statistics and movements reported against the supporting data from the administration system and review for reasonableness compared with our expectations.
Other areas	
Current assets and liabilities are appropriately accounted for	 Review balances compared with the prior year and against our expectations from testing of income and expenditure. Obtain independent confirmation of cash balances. Review controls over cash movements and bank account authority levels.
Related party transactions	 Understand the controls that the Committee and management have over the identification of related parties and transactions with them. Make specific enquiries for any transactions which look to be outside of the normal course of business.

Risk of fraud

International Standards on Auditing (UK&I) state that we, as auditors, are responsible for obtaining reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. The respective responsibilities of auditors, management and those charged with governance are summarised below:

Auditors' responsibility	Management's responsibility	Responsibility of the Corporate Governance Committee
 Our objectives are: To identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements due to fraud; To obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the assessed risks of material misstatement due to fraud, through designing and implementing appropriate responses; and To respond appropriately to fraud or suspected fraud identified during the audit. 	 Management's responsibilities in relation to fraud are: To design and implement programmes and controls to prevent, deter and detect fraud; To ensure that the entity's culture and environment promote ethical behaviour; and To perform a risk assessment that specifically includes the risk of fraud addressing incentives and pressures, opportunities, and attitudes and rationalisation. 	 Your responsibility as part of your governance role is: To evaluate management's identification of fraud risk, implementation of anti-fraud measures and creation of appropriate 'tone at the top'; and To ensure any alleged or suspected instances of fraud brought to your attention are investigated appropriately.

Conditions under which fraud may occur



Your views on fraud

We enquire of the Audit Committee:

- Whether you have knowledge of fraud, either actual, suspected or alleged, including those involving management?
- What fraud detection or prevention measures (e.g. whistleblower lines) are in place in the entity?
- What role you have in relation to fraud?
- What protocols / procedures have been established between those charged with governance and management to keep you informed of instances of fraud, either actual, suspected or alleged?

Audit team

The individuals in your PwC team have been selected to bring you extensive audit experience from working with Local Authorities, the wider public sector. We also recognise that continuity in the audit team is important to you and the senior members of our team are committed to developing longer term relationships with you. The core members of your audit team are:

Audit Team	Responsibilities
Engagement Leader	Richard is responsible for independently delivering the audit in line with the Code of Audit Practice, including agreeing the audit plan, the quality of outputs and signing of opinions and
Richard Bacon	conclusions. Richard is also responsible for liaison with the Leader of the Council and the
+44 (0) 121 265 5492	Executive.
richard.f.bacon@uk.pwc.com	
Pensions Manager	Senior Manager on the assignment responsible for overall control of the audit engagement, ensuring delivery to timetable, delivery and management of targeted work and overall review of
David Wallace	audit outputs.
david.wallace@uk.pwc.com	
Team Leader	Dan is responsible for leading the fieldwork team, including the audit of the Pension Fund
Dan French	account, Net assets statement, and governance aspects of our work. Regular liaison with the finance team.
+44 (0) 772 217 9364	
daniel.p.french@uk.pwc.com	

The audit fees remain at the same level as in the previous year.

Audit fees

The Audit Commission has provided indicative audit fee levels for the 2014/15 financial year. The base fee scale for our audit of the Fund is £27,637 (2013/14: £27,637).

We have based the fee level on the following assumptions:

- Officers meeting the timetable of deliverables, which we will agree in writing;
- We are able to use, as planned, the work of internal audit;
- We do not review more than 3 iterations of the statement of accounts;
- We are able to obtain assurance from your management controls;
- No significant changes being made by the Audit Commission to the local value for money work requirements; and
- Our value for money conclusion and accounts opinion being unqualified.

If these prove to be unfounded, we will seek a variation order to the agreed fee, to be discussed and agreed in advance with you and the Audit Commission.

Audit Timings

With regards to the timings of executing the audit work, this will be performed in two stages:

- Week commencing 18th May –Audit planning and FRS 17 work
- Fortnight commencing 13th July Commencement of year audit fieldwork

Appendices

Appendix A: Independence threats and safeguards

At the beginning of our audit process we are required to assess our independence as your external auditor. We have made enquiries of all PwC teams providing services to you and of those responsible in the UK Firm for compliance matters and there are no matters which we perceive may impact our independence and objectivity of the audit team. We have set out in the main authority Audit Plan the relationship that, in our professional judgement, may be perceived to impact upon our independence and the objectivity of our audit team, together with the related safeguards.

Relationships and Investments

Senior officers should not seek or receive personal financial or tax advice from PwC. Non-executives who receive such advice from us (perhaps in connection with employment by a client of the firm) or who also act as director for another audit or advisory client of the firm should notify us, so that we can put appropriate conflict management arrangements in place.

Therefore at the date of this plan we confirm that in our professional judgement, we are independent accountants with respect to the Authority, within the meaning of UK regulatory and professional requirements and that the objectivity of the audit team is not impaired.

Appendix B: Audit quality

Quality is built into every aspect of the way that we deliver the Authority audit. We take great pride in being your auditors and in the value of assurance that the audit opinion provides. A timely, independent and rigorous audit is fundamental. This in turn necessitates getting the basics right – clarity on audit risks, scope, resource, timetables, deliverables and areas of judgement – which is supported by our team that has extensive experience and relevant training.

The table below sets out some of the key ways in which we ensure we deliver a high quality audit.

Procedure	Description
People	Quality begins with our people. To ensure that every engagement team provides quality, we use carefully designed protocols for recruiting, training, promoting, assigning responsibility and managing and overseeing the work of our people. We invest significant amounts of time and money for the training and development of our audit professionals. Every new team member is carefully selected to ensure they have the right blend of technical expertise and industry experience to support the Authority audit.
Client acceptance and retention	Our client acceptance and retention standards and procedures are designed to identify risks of a client or prospective client to determine whether the risks are manageable.
Audit methodology	The same audit methodology is used for all Local Authority audit engagements, thereby ensuring uniformity and consistency in approach. Compliance with this methodology is regularly reviewed and evaluated. Comprehensive policies and procedures governing our accounting and auditing practice – covering professional and regulatory standards as well as implementation issues – are constantly updated for new professional developments and emerging issues, needs and concerns of the practice.
Technical consultation	Consultations by engagement teams, typically with senior technical partners unaffiliated with the audit engagement, are required in particular circumstances involving auditing, accounting or reporting matters including matters such as going concern and clinical quality issues. In addition, we regularly consult with our industry specialists in the Local Government Centre of Excellence and our accounting technical experts that sit on the Audit Commission Auditors' Group.

Procedure	Description
Technical updates	PwC prepares numerous publications to keep both PwC staff and our clients abreast of the latest technical guidance.
	These include:
	 A weekly publication covering the week's accounting and business developments; A periodic publication providing in-depth analysis of significant accounting developments; and A publication issued shortly after meetings of standard setters, including IFRIC and the EITF, to provide timely feedback on issues discussed at the meeting.
	We also provide Local Government specific technical updates through regular publications issued by our Local Government Centre of Excellence and weekly conference calls for all Local Authority engagement teams during the final audit period. We will share our technical updates with you throughout the year.
Independence standards	PwC has policies and systems designed to comply with relevant independence and client retention standards. Before a piece of non-audit work can begin for the Authority, it must first be authorised by the engagement leader who evaluates the project against our own internal policies and safeguards and against your policy on non-audit services. Above a certain fee threshold, we then seek approval from the Audit Commission before proceeding with any work.
Ethics	Our Ethics and Business Conduct Programme includes confidential communication channels to voice questions and concerns 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Confidentiality helps us to ensure that we receive the candid information and that we respond with the appropriate technical and risk management resources.
Independent review	Our audits are subject to ongoing review and evaluation by review teams within PwC and also by the Audit Quality Review Team (AQRT, formerly the Audit Inspection Unit). The most recent report on PwC was issued in May 2014 and although there are some areas for development identified the general theme was that audit quality has continued to improve. The firm has developed action plans for all areas for development identified by the AQRT.
	As auditors appointed by the Audit Commission we are also required to comply with their annual Regulatory Compliance and Quality Review programme. The results for our 2013/14 audits are expected in 2015 and will be publicly available on the Audit Commission's website should you wish to take a look.

Smart People

We deploy quality people on your audit, supported by a substantial investment in training and in our industry programme. The members of staff deployed on your audit have been primarily taken from our dedicated Government and Public Sector team. These staff members have a wide and deep knowledge both of the Authority and the local government sector.

Key members of the audit team including the engagement manager and team leader have been involved in the audit of the Authority for a number of years. This ensures continuity which is beneficial both for our people and your audit through ensuring that accumulated knowledge remains within the audit team, improving the quality of the audit we deliver.

Smart Approach

Data auditing

We use technology-enabled audit techniques to drive quality, efficiency and insight.

In 2014/15 we anticipate the work will include:

- Testing journals using data analytics, ensuring we consider the complete population of journals and target our detailed testing on the items with the highest inherent risk.
- The production of a journals 'insight report' which shows the comparable use of journals across the organisation and explores some of the root causes. We use the data gathered as part of our journals testing to share our findings and observations with management.

Centre of Excellence

We have a Centre of Excellence in the UK for Local Government which is a dedicated team of specialists which advises, assists and shares best practice with our audit teams in more complex areas of the audit.

Our team has been working side by side with the Centre of Excellence to ensure we are executing the best possible audit approach.

Delivery centres

We use dedicated delivery centres to deliver parts of our audit work that are routine and can be done by teams dedicated to specific tasks; for example these include confirmation procedures, preliminary independence checks and consistency and casting checks of the financial statements.

The use of our delivery centres frees up your audit team to focus on other areas of the audit.

We have agreed a process with the Audit Commission, under which data can be off-shored to PwC Service delivery Centres in Poland for the facilitation of basic audit tasks, as highlighted earlier. We have also agreed with the Audit Commission how this

will be regulated, together with their independent review of our internal processes to ensure compliance, with the Audit Commission requirements for off-shoring. Further information is included in Appendix E.

Smart Technology

We have designed processes that automate and simplify audit activity wherever possible. Central to this is PwC's Aura software, which has set the standard for audit technology. It is a powerful tool, enabling us to direct and oversee audit activities.

Aura's risk-based approach and workflow technology results in a higher quality, more effective audit and the tailored testing libraries allow us to build standard work programmes for key Authority audit cycles.



Our 'smart' approach underpins your audit.

Appendix C: Other engagement information

The Audit Commission appoint us as auditors to Leicestershire County Council and the terms of our appointment are governed by:

- The Code of Audit Practice; and
- The Standing Guidance for Auditors.

There are five further matters which are not currently included within the guidance, but which our firm's practice requires that we raise with you.

Electronic communication

During the engagement we may from time to time communicate electronically with each other. However, the electronic transmission of information cannot be guaranteed to be secure, virus or error free and such information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete or otherwise be adversely affected or unsafe to use.

PwC partners and staff may also need to access PwC electronic information and resources during the engagement. You agree that there are benefits to each of us in their being able to access the PwC network via your internet connection and that they may do this by connecting their PwC laptop computers to your network. We each understand that there are risks to each of us associated with such access, including in relation to security and the transmission of viruses.

We each recognise that systems and procedures cannot be a guarantee that transmissions, our respective networks and the devices connected to these networks will be unaffected by risks such as those identified in the previous two paragraphs. We each agree to accept the risks of and authorise (a) electronic communications between us and (b) the use of your network and internet connection as set out above. We each agree to use commercially reasonable procedures (i) to check for the then most commonly known viruses before either of us sends information electronically or we connect to your network and (ii) to prevent unauthorised access to each other's systems.

We shall each be responsible for protecting our own systems and interests and you and PwC (in each case including our respective directors, members, partners, employees, agents or servants) shall have no liability to each other on any basis, whether in contract, tort (including negligence) or otherwise, in respect of any error, damage, loss or omission arising from or in connection with the electronic communication of information between us and our reliance on such information or our use of your network and internet connection.

The exclusion of liability in the previous paragraph shall not apply to the extent that such liability cannot by law be excluded.

Access to audit working papers

We may be required to give access to our audit working papers to the Audit Commission or the National Audit Office for quality assurance purposes.

Overseas processing of information

Recently, as with other firms, we have agreed a process with the Audit Commission, under which data can be off-shored to PwC Service Delivery Centres in Poland for the facilitation of basic audit tasks. This arrangement was approved by the Corporate Governance Committee in 2014. Please refer to the letter at the end of this Appendix for further information on the types of tasks we may off-shore. We confirm that:

- When work is off-shored the firm delivering the audit remains entirely responsible for the conduct of the audit. As such the data will be subject to similar data quality control procedures as if the work had not been off-shored, maintaining the security of your data.
- All firms within the PricewaterhouseCoopers network, including the PwC Service Delivery Centres, have signed an intra-group data protection agreement which includes data protection obligations equivalent to those set out in the EU model contract for the transfer of personal data to data processors outside of the European Economic Area.
- We shall comply at all times with the seventh principle in Part 1 of Schedule 1 to the Data Protection Act 1998.
- Your audit team members will remain your key audit contacts, you will not need to communicate with our overseas delivery teams.
- The audit team members are responsible for reviewing all of the work performed by the overseas delivery teams.
- We already successfully use a UK based delivery centre for financial statements quality checks and that this service will remain in the UK.

If you have any questions regarding this process or if you require further information then please contact Richard Bacon.

Quality arrangements

We want to provide you at all times with a high quality service to meet your needs. If at any time you would like to discuss with us how our service could be improved or if you are dissatisfied with any aspect of our services, please raise the matter immediately with the partner responsible for that aspect of our services to you. If, for any reason, you would prefer to discuss these matters with someone other than that partner, please contact Richard Bacon, our Government & Public Sector Assurance Lead Partner at our office at Cornwall Court, Birmingham, B3 2DT, or James Chalmers, UK Head of Assurance, at

our office at 1 Embankment Place, London, WC2N 6NN. In this way we can ensure that your concerns are dealt with carefully and promptly. We undertake to look into any complaint carefully and promptly and to do all we can to explain the position to you. This will not affect your right to complain to the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales or to the Audit Commission.

Events arising between signature of accounts and their publication

ISA (UK&I) 560 places a number of requirements on us in the event of material events arising between the signing of the accounts and their publication. You need to inform us of any such matters that arise so we can fulfil our responsibilities.

If you have any queries on the above, please let us know before approving the Audit Plan or, if arising subsequently, at any point during the year.



In the event that, pursuant to a request which Leicestershire County Council has received under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, it is required to disclose any information contained in this report, it will notify PwC promptly and consult with PwC prior to disclosing such report. Leicestershire County Council agrees to pay due regard to any representations which PwC may make in connection with such disclosure and Leicestershire County Council shall apply any relevant exemptions which may exist under the Act to such report. If, following consultation with PwC, Leicestershire County Council discloses this report or any part thereof, it shall ensure that any disclaimer which PwC has included or may subsequently wish to include in the information is reproduced in full in any copies disclosed.

This document has been prepared only for Leicestershire County Council and solely for the purpose and on the terms agreed through our contract with the Audit Commission. We accept no liability (including for negligence) to anyone else in connection with this document, and it may not be provided to anyone else.

© 2015 PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. All rights reserved. In this document, "PwC" refers to the UK member firm, and may sometimes refer to the PwC network. Each member firm is a separate legal entity. Please see www.pwc.com/structure for further details.

130610-142627-JA-UK